



Robert J. Wenke • Richard W. Redding • Anthony J. Cagle (eds.)



Kom El-Hisn

(ca. 2500–1900 BC)

An Ancient Settlement in the Nile Delta



Ψ LOCKWOOD PRESS

KOM EL-HISN (ca. 2500–1900 BC)

KOM EL-HISN (ca. 2500–1900 BC)
AN ANCIENT SETTLEMENT IN THE
NILE DELTA OF EGYPT

Edited by
Robert J. Wenke, Richard W. Redding, and Anthony J. Cagle



LOCKWOOD PRESS
ATLANTA, GEORGIA

KOM EL-HISN (ca. 2500–1900 BC)
AN ANCIENT SETTLEMENT IN THE
NILE DELTA OF EGYPT

Copyright © 2016 by Lockwood Press

All rights reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and recording, or by means of any information storage or retrieval system, except as may be expressly permitted by the 1976 Copyright Act or in writing from the publisher. Requests for permission should be addressed in writing to Lockwood Press, PO Box 133289, Atlanta, GA 30333 USA.

Library of Congress Control Number: 2016936593

ISBN: 978-1-937040-53-6

Cover design by Susanne Wilhelm

This paper meets the requirements of ANSI/NISO Z39.48-1992 (Permanence of Paper).

Dedicated to the memory of Dr. Lech Krzyzaniak,
a great pioneer in the archaeology of the Nile Delta



CONTENTS

Acknowledgments	ix
List of Figures	xi
List of Tables	xix
Abbreviations	xxi
1 <i>Robert J. Wenke: Methods and Objectives of the Kom el-Hisn Archaeological Project</i>	1
2 <i>Paul E. Buck: Site Geomorphology and Regional Fluvial Reconstruction and History</i>	53
3 <i>Anthony J. Cagle: Stratigraphic Analysis</i>	71
4 <i>Karla Kroeper: Inscribed Seals and Sealings</i>	135
5 <i>Richard W. Redding: The Vertebrate Fauna from the Excavations at Kom el-Hisn, Giza, and Other Sites</i>	139
6 <i>Wilma Wetterstrom and Robert J. Wenke: Kom el-Hisn's Plant Remains</i>	205
7 <i>Robert J. Wenke: Introduction to the Analysis of the Kom el-Hisn Ceramics</i>	255
8 <i>Karla Kroeper: A Selection of Ceramic Types Found at Kom el-Hisn</i>	263
9 <i>Anna Wodzińska: Notes on the Kom el-Hisn Ceramics</i>	295
10 <i>Sarah L. Sterling: Analyses of Dimensional Variations in Meidum Bowls from Kom el-Hisn and Other Sites</i>	303
11 <i>Michał Kobusiewicz: Chipped and Ground Stone Assemblages from Kom el-Hisn</i>	327
12 <i>Anthony J. Cagle: Human Burials at Kom el-Hisn: Chronology and Context</i>	343
13 <i>Robert J. Wenke: Summary and Conclusions</i>	363
Appendix 1. Floral Remains from the 1988 Season. Identifications by C. D'Andrea	391
Appendix 2. Measurements taken on individual sherds discussed in chapter 11, identified by location and dynastic attribution; site and dynasty	397
Appendix 3. Drawings of representative ceramics arranged by vessel form	413
Bibliography	441
Index	471

Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge the many people who participated in the Kom el-Hisn project. We excavated Kom el-Hisn in 1984, 1986, and 1988, and we thank the Egyptian administrators and scholars who helped us at that time. These include Dr. Ahmed Qadry, then President of the Egyptian Antiquities Organization, Dr. Mahmoud Abd el-Razziq, Director of Excavations, Mr. Kemal Fahmy, Director of Excavations for the Western Delta, and Mr. M. Abd el-Fatah, Director of the Alexandria office of the E.A.O. We owe a great debt to our Egyptian colleagues in the field, Ms. Samiha Noshy, Mr. Ahmed Mahmoud el-Nashar, and Mr. Osaama el-Sayed el-Katafany. We particularly thank Dr. William Coulson and Dr. Albert Leonard, then co-directors of the Naukratis Archaeological Project, who graciously invited us to investigate Kom el-Hisn, which lay in the concession of the Naukratis Project. Dr. Richard Verdery and Dr. Robert Betts, former directors of the Cairo office of the American Research Center in Egypt, were both helpful in arranging our fieldwork permissions. We greatly appreciate the efforts of our colleagues in doing the field-work at Kom el-Hisn: Dr. Douglas Brewer, Dr. Maria Casini, Dr. Cathy D'Andrea, Kim Honor, Dr. Michal Kobusiewicz, Dr. Bahay Issawi, Dr. Melinda Hartwig, Maureen King, Mary Jo Kris, Dr. Lech Krzyzaniak, Janet Long, Cathy Villas, Dr. Wilma Wetterstrom, Dolores Ward, Emmy Zartman, Dr. Hany Hamroush, and Dr. Donald Grayson. We thank Steven Goodman for helping to identify the bird bones. Our research was funded by National Science Foundation Grants BNS-84007006 and BNS-8519637, and we thank Dr. Terry Walz, former US Director of ARCE, for administering these grants. We are particularly grateful to Dr. Nanette M. Pyne for preparing illustrations and editing several journal articles we have published on Kom el-Hisn. Laura Phillips of the Burke Museum, at the University of Washington, helped to conserve the many field records and artifacts from Kom el-Hisn. We thank Dr. Melinda Zeder for reviewing parts of this manuscript. We are also in debt to Sara Oren, who graciously made available to us notes and drawings from recent excavations at Kom el-Hisn by Kirby, Oren, and Smith (1998). We also thank Prof. Naomi Miller for her suggestions concerning the paleo-flora of South-west Asia. Ali Witsell prepared several of the figures.

It must be noted that any report of archaeological fieldwork published more than twenty-five years after fieldwork ceased must include an apology. Our primary findings were published piecemeal in various academic journals, but it is only with this volume that we summarize the full range of the data we collected and our analyses of them. We blame this long delay on the usual suspects. Combining the research results of many scholars into a unified report proved to be a difficult and lengthy process.

List of Figures

Chapter 1

1.1	The Nile Delta in the Old Kingdom. Redrawn from Butzer 2002.	3
1.2	Google earth view of Kom el-Hisn.	5
1.3	View of excavations in 1986 showing surrounding environment.	5
1.4	Egyptian archaeological sites referred to in the text of chapter 1. Drawing by A. Witsell.	9
1.5	Plan of the Heit el-Ghurab site at Giza. Figure courtesy of Ancient Egypt Research Associates.	10
1.6	A view to the southwest from the area of our excavations at the small village on the high mound at Kom el-Hisn. The building in the left foreground encloses the tomb of Khesu-wer (<i>Hsu-wr</i>). Step trench 1 is visible on the left side covered by a sunshade.	14
1.7	A view to the north of step trench 1 against the high mound at Kom el-Hisn.	14
1.8	A view of the step trench. The thick Old Kingdom wall is visible in the foreground and a thinner wall is visible in the back profile.	15
1.9	A view of the step trench with objects for scale on top of the thick Old Kingdom wall.	15
1.10	Topographic map of Kom el-Hisn showing positions of the excavation units.	16
1.11	Map of the nomes of the Nile Delta in the Old Kingdom. Drawing by A. Witsell.	24
1.12	The Middle East during the Old Kingdom. Drawing by A. Witsell	26
1.13	Scene of cattle crossing a river, from the Tomb of Ty, Saqqara. Photo by R. Redding.	48
1.14	Plan of the architecture in Area A at Kom el Hisn.	49
1.15	Photograph of a hearth in an Old Kingdom building at Kom el-Hisn.	50
1.16	Ramesseid forts in the northwest frontier of the Nile Delta. Drawing by A. Witsell after Snape 1986.	50

Chapter 2

2.1	Old Kingdom shoreline, associated lagoons and marshes, historic branches of the Nile, and locations of Sneh's and Stanley's work in the eastern Delta. Also shows location of confirmed Pelusiac branch reported by Sneh and Weissbrod (1973).	56
2.2	Western Nile Delta, showing topographic contours, former distributaries, exposed sand dunes, hypothetical Old Kingdom shoreline, and cross sections showing levees associated with Canopic branch. Also shows locations of former distributaries based on remote sensing by Coleman (1968) and Pouquet (1969).	57
2.3	Sections through the Nile Delta from west to east showing differences in elevation, indicating possible levees associated with the extinct Canopic branch of the Nile. Locations of these sections shown in fig. 2.2.	59
2.4	Map of core locations from Kom el-Hisn. Locations of cross sections shown in fig. 2.5 are shown as A-A' and B-B'; geological test pits indicated as "TR1" and so on.	63
2.5	Cross sections through <i>gezira</i> , consolidated <i>gezira</i> , Nile mud, and midden based on augering data.	64
2.6	Cartoon of deposits at Kom el-Hisn and the western Delta. This reconstruction is based on shallow coring at the site of Kom el-Hisn and information from Attia 1954; Butzer and Hansen 1968; and Said 1981.	67

Chapter 3

3.1	All excavation units, 1984–1988.	72
3.2	Main trench Area “A” (“Block Area”) excavations, 1986 and 1988.	73
3.3	Area “B,” 1986 and 1988.	74
3.4	Area “C,” 1988.	75
3.5	Unit 1156S/1000E North profile.	78
3.6	Unit 1156S/1000E South profile.	78
3.7	Unit 1156S/1000E East profile.	78
3.8	Unit 1156S/1000E West profile.	78
3.9	Unit 1200S/1088E South profile.	80
3.10	Unit 1200S/1088E West profile.	80
3.11	Unit 1192S/1060E East profile.	82
3.12	Unit 1192S/1060E North profile.	82
3.13	Unit 1192S/1060E South profile.	83
3.14	Unit 1192S/1060E West profile.	83
3.15	Test Square 1 North profile.	84
3.16	Test Square 1 East profile.	85
3.17	Test Square 1 West profile.	85
3.18	Step Trench 1 (ST-1) showing the large enclosure wall and a smaller interior building wall.	87
3.19	Unit 1235S/1056E East profile.	89
3.20	Unit 1235S/1056E North profile.	89
3.21	Unit 1235S/1056E South profile.	90
3.22	Unit 1235S/1056E West profile.	90
3.23	Unit 1192S/1035E North profile.	93
3.24	Unit 1192S/1035E West profile.	93
3.25	Unit 1219S/1095E North profile.	95
3.26	Unit 1219S/1095E West profile.	95
3.27	Unit 1166S/1066E North profile.	98
3.28	Unit 1166S/1066E West profile.	98
3.29	Unit 1204S/1060E West profile.	99
3.30	Unit 1261S/1074E South profile.	102
3.31	Unit 1261S/1074E West profile.	103
3.32	Unit 1263S/1074E South profile.	104
3.33	Unit 1263S/1074E West profile.	104
3.34	Unit 1159S/1040E East profile.	106
3.35	Unit 1159S/1040E South profile.	107
3.36	Room 4 (1216S/1072E) West profile.	112
3.37	Room 8 (1216S/1068E) East profile.	118
3.38	Room 10 (1212S/1064E) East profile.	120
3.39	Room 12 (1214/1062) East profile.	121
3.40	Room 13 (1220/1064) South profile.	123
3.41	Room 13 Brick “oven” feature.	124
3.42	Room 18 (1208S/1068E) West portion of South profile.	128
3.43	Room 22 (1210S/788E) East profile.	131
3.44	Room 23 (1214S/788E) North profile.	132

Chapter 4

- 4.1 Stamp seals (b, c), sealings (a, d–i, and k) from Kom el-Hisn, and examples from Petrie 1925 (j and l). 137

Chapter 5

- 5.1 Survivorship curves, based on bone fusion, for sheep-goat limb elements. The curve for Kom el-Hisn is compared to a hypothetical curve for a herd of sheep-goats managed to maximize herd security. 170

Chapter 7

- 7.1 Some of the Reisner ceramic types. Drawn from Reisner 1955. 257

Chapter 8

- 8.1 Nile Silt C, Type 1: restricted vessel. 276
- 8.2 Nile Silt C, Type 1: neck of restricted vessel. 276
- 8.3 Nile Silt C, Type 1: neck of restricted vessel. 276
- 8.4 Nile Silt C, Type 3: tray. 277
- 8.5 Nile Silt C, Type 3: tray. 277
- 8.6 Nile Silt C, Type 3: tray. 277
- 8.7 Nile Silt C Type 4: plate. 279
- 8.8 Nile Silt C Type 4: plate. 278
- 8.9 Nile Silt C Type 5: bowls/tubs. 278
- 8.10 Nile Silt C Type 5: bowls/tubs. 278
- 8.11 Nile Silt C Type 5: bowls/tubs. 278
- 8.12 Nile Silt C Type 5: bowls/tubs. 278
- 8.13 Nile Silt C Type 8: bowls/tubs. 279
- 8.14 Nile Silt C Type 9: bowls. 279
- 8.15 Nile Silt C Type 10: bowls. 279
- 8.16 Nile Silt C Type 11: bowls. 279
- 8.17 Nile Silt C Type 11: bowls. 280
- 8.18 Nile B1 and B2, Type 13: restricted vessel. 280
- 8.19 Nile B1 and B2, Type 13: restricted vessel. 280
- 8.20 Nile B1 and B2, Type 13: restricted vessel. 280
- 8.21 Nile B1 and B2, Type 13: restricted vessel. 280
- 8.22 Nile B1 and B2, Type 14: restricted vessel. 280
- 8.23 Nile B1 and B2, Type 14: restricted vessel. 280
- 8.24 Nile B1 and B2, Type 14: restricted vessel. 280
- 8.25 Nile B1 and B2, Type 14: restricted vessel. 280
- 8.26 Nile B1 and B2, Type 15: bowl. 281
- 8.27 Nile B1 and B2, Type 15: bowl. 281
- 8.28 Nile B1 and B2, Type 16: bowl. 281
- 8.29 Nile B1 and B2, Type 16: bowl. 281
- 8.30 Nile B1 and B2, Type 16: bowl. 281
- 8.31 Nile B1 and B2, Type 17: bowl. 282
- 8.32 Nile B1 and B2, Type 17: bowl. 282
- 8.33 Nile B1 and B2, Type 18: bowl. 282

8.34	Nile B1 and B2, Type 18: bowl.	282
8.35	Nile B1 and B2, Type 20: bowl.	282
8.36	Nile B1 and B2, Type 20: bowl.	282
8.37	Nile B1 and B2, Type 21: bowl.	283
8.38	Nile B1 and B2, Type 22: bowl.	283
8.39	Nile B1 and B2, Type 22: bowl.	283
8.40	Nile B1 and B2, Type 22: bowl.	283
8.41	Nile B1 and B2, Type 23: bowl.	283
8.42	Nile B1 and B2, Type 24: bowl.	283
8.43	Nile B1 and B2, Type 25: bowl.	284
8.44	Nile B1 and B2, Type 26: bowl.	284
8.45	Nile B1 and B2, Type 27: bowl.	284
8.46	Nile B1 and B2, Type 28: bowl.	284
8.47	Nile B1 and B2, Type 29: bowl.	284
8.48	Nile B1 and B2, Type 30: base.	285
8.49	Nile B1 and B2, Type 30: base.	285
8.50	Nile B1 and B2, Type 32: bowl.	285
8.51	Nile B1 and B2, Type 33: bowl.	285
8.52	Nile B1 and B2, Type 34: bowl.	285
8.53	Nile B1 and B2, Type 35: bowl.	286
8.54	Nile B1 and B2, Type 35: bowl.	286
8.55	Nile B1 and B2, Type 35: bowl.	286
8.56	Nile B1 and B2, Type 35: bowl.	286
8.57	Nile B1 and B2, Type 35: bowl.	286
8.58	Nile B1 and B2, Type 35: bowl.	286
8.59	Nile B1 and B2, Type 36: bowl.	287
8.60	Nile B1 and B2, Type 36: bowl.	287
8.61	Nile B1 and B2, Type 38: bowl.	287
8.62	Nile B1 and B2, Type 39: bowl.	287
8.63	Nile B1 and B2, Type 39: bowl.	287
8.64	Nile B1 and B2, Type 40: bowl.	287
8.65	Nile B1 and B2, Type 40: bowl.	288
8.66	Nile B1 and B2, Type 40: bowl.	288
8.67	Nile B1 and B2, Type 41: bowl.	288
8.68	Nile B1 and B2, Type 41: bowl.	288
8.69	Nile B1 and B2, Type 42: bowl.	288
8.70	Nile B1 and B2, Type 42: bowl.	289
8.71	Nile B1 and B2, Type 43: bowl.	289
8.72	Nile B1 and B2, Type 44: bowl.	289
8.73	Nile B1 and B2, Type 44: bowl.	289
8.74	Nile B1 and B2, Type 45: bowl.	289
8.75	Nile B1 and B2, Type 45: bowl.	290
8.76	Nile B1 and B2, Type 45: bowl.	290
8.77	Nile B1 and B2, Type 46: bowl.	290
8.78	Nile B1 and B2, Type 47: bowl.	290
8.79	Nile B1 and B2, Type 47: bowl.	290

8.80	Nile Silt A, Type 48: bowl.	290
8.81	Nile Silt A, Type 50: bowl.	291
8.82	Eleventh and Twelfth Dynasties: bread mold.	291
8.83	Eleventh and Twelfth Dynasties: bread mold.	291
8.84	Eleventh and Twelfth Dynasties.	291
8.85	Eleventh and Twelfth Dynasties.	291
8.86	Eleventh and Twelfth Dynasties.	292
8.87	Eleventh and Twelfth Dynasties: ring stand.	292
8.88	Eleventh and Twelfth Dynasties: bowl.	292
8.89	Eleventh and Twelfth Dynasties: bowl.	292
8.90	Eleventh and Twelfth Dynasties: bowl.	292
8.91	Eleventh and Twelfth Dynasties: bowl.	292
8.92	Eleventh and Twelfth Dynasties: bowl.	292
8.93	Eleventh and Twelfth Dynasties: bowl.	293
8.94	Eleventh and Twelfth Dynasties: bowl.	293
8.95	Eleventh and Twelfth Dynasties: bowl.	293
8.96	Eleventh and Twelfth Dynasties: bowl.	293
8.97	Eleventh and Twelfth Dynasties: base.	293
8.98	Eleventh and Twelfth Dynasties.	293
8.99	Eleventh and Twelfth Dynasties.	293
8.100	Eleventh and Twelfth Dynasties.	293
8.101	Eleventh and Twelfth Dynasties.	293

Chapter 9

9.1	Old Kingdom Ceramic Forms: Type 1 through Type 10.	296
9.2	Middle Kingdom Ceramic Forms: Types 11 through 18.	298
9.3	Kom el-Hisn, general distribution of pottery within major periods.	300
9.4	Diagram showing the general distribution of jars, bowls, and bread molds in Old and Middle Kingdom contexts at Kom el-Hisn.	300
9.5	Diagram showing general distribution of jars, bowls, and bread molds from Gallery Complex (GIII.4) and area AA at Heit el-Ghurab, Giza.	301

Chapter 10

10.1	Sample ceramic assemblages from various locations in Egypt. The “Meidum Bowl” is offset in a square.	304
10.2	Petrie’s rendering of a “Meidum” bowl.	304
10.3	Map of locations discussed in text.	305
10.4	Photographic “stancing” and measurements implemented (excluding diameter)	315
10.5	The photo on the left is a Second Dynasty jar from Elephantine. Drawings to the right are examples of similar vessels from el Kab.	319
10.6	Combined groups plot illustrating the relationship between the earliest jars and later Meidum bowl forms at Elephantine in canonical space.	321
10.7	Combined groups plot illustrating the relationship between Meidum bowl forms at Elephantine compared to Meidum bowl forms from Giza in canonical space.	322
10.8	Combined groups plot illustrating the relationship between Meidum bowl forms at Elephantine compared to Meidum bowl forms from Teti in canonical space.	322

10.9	Combined groups plot illustrating the relationship between Meidum bowl forms at Elephantine compared to bowl forms from Kom el-Hisn in canonical space.	324
<i>Chapter 11</i>		
11.1	(1–3) cores; (4–6) sickle blades.	336
11.2	Sickle blades.	337
11.3	(1), (3) bifacial knives; (2) burin; (4) end-scraper.	338
11.4	(1–2) end-scrapers; (3–4) truncations; (5) notched blade; (6) perforator.	339
11.5	Ground stone tools. (1), (3–4) lower grinding stones; (2) small circular grinding stone; (5) ball made of sandstone.	340
11.6	(1) object made of sandstone with parallel grooves; (2) pencil-like object made of limestone; (3) pounder made of hard sandstone; (4) hammer stones made of chert; (5) small balls made of limestone.	341
<i>Chapter 12</i>		
12.1	Map of Kom el-Hisn showing areas of excavation described in the text.	345
12.2	Area A (1986) showing locations of human burials in relation to architecture.	351
12.3	Area C (1988) showing locations of human burials in relation to architecture.	352
12.4	Infant burial, Room 4.	353
12.5	Infant burial I-2, Room 13.	354
12.6	Infant burial I-3, Room 5.	354
12.7	Adult burial A-1, Room 5.	355
12.8	Adult burial A2, Room 15 showing bronze mirror.	355
12.9	Adult burial A-3, Room 20. The upper portion of the body was removed in antiquity.	357
12.10	Adult burial A-4, Trench ST-1. An incomplete burial disturbed in antiquity.	357
12.11	Canonical discriminant function analysis of Level 1, 3, and 4 ceramics suggesting no significant differences between the levels.	360
<i>Chapter 13</i>		
13.1	An example of a representation of ancient cattle pens that resemble those at Kom el-Hisn (fig. 1.14). At Kom el-Hisn these “pens” consist of only one course of mud bricks. We presume that walls of cut thorny plants or woven mats formed the “walls” of these enclosures. Figure is from the Karnak Temple and was drawn by A. Witsel.	371
13.2	The “scree” plot of the relationship of the eigenvalues of the twenty principal components initially extracted. This figure confirms the suspicion that only six principal components are potentially meaningful—the rest of the principal components are just explaining random variations. In fact this plot indicates that only the first few principal components are useful in this regard.	378
13.3	Scatterplot of the two most significant (as measured by eigenvalues) principal components. Although the results of our PCA analysis indicates that the Euclidean distance between these variables can only be accurately perceived in a space of at least six dimensions (one for each of the first six principal components), we can at least get some sense of their spatial relationships by considering how they are distributed in a two dimensional space, as is presented above. The first principal component (1) is the horizontal line in this figure, and the vertical line is the second component (2). Note that the variable “allcereals,” if projected onto the horizontal line represented by Principal Component 1 is separated from some of	

- the other plants, those with which it is not highly correlated. It is difficult, nonetheless, to interpret any of these components. There might be some ecological reason that the cereal remains are not often found with small wild grasses, for example. 379
- 13.4 Fig. 13.4. Multidimensional scaling (“MDS”) of the data in table 6.1 indicates that almost all of the information in the original correlation matrix can be expressed in a Euclidean space of just two dimensions. These data were the same as those used in the PCA example discussed above. In this case the frequencies for the selected plant taxa were considered ratio-level measurements, although an ordinal-level coefficient might be more appropriate. MDS measures the extent to which the spatial plotting of variables reflects the information in the original correlation matrix, and the differences are called “stress.” In this case the stress value was 0.13 for the matrix, and r -squared = 0.99. As in the PCA study, the meaning of these dimensions must be inferred: they could express almost anything, ranging from sample size to spatial distribution. (Note that for statistical reasons beyond the scope of this chapter, “correspondence analysis” [Shennan 1997: 308] is perhaps the best multivariate technique with which to search for structure in these data). The distance of the “AllCereals” variable from the main cluster implies that the concentration of cereal remains in dung cakes is quite different, in terms of Dimension 1, than samples that do not contain cereals. The extreme outlier represented by “small_legume” also requires some explanation. One might speculate, for example, that manure cakes that contained many cereal elements came from cows that were penned close to residential areas. But such speculations are not easily testable for validity. 380
- 13.5 Bronze mirror found on the chest of an individual whose grave was clearly intrusive and cut into existing Old Kingdom mud-brick walls. Such mirrors were common “grave goods” in certain periods, but their chronology is not well established. There is some evidence that this might be a Middle Kingdom inhumation. 383

List of Tables

Old Kingdom and Middle Kingdom Chronology based on Hornung *et al.* 2006\Kom el-Hisn radiocarbon dates

Chapter 1

1.1	Old Kingdom and Middle Kingdom Chronology. From Hornung et al. 2006.	2
1.2	Kom el-Hisn radiocarbon dates	6

Chapter 2

2.1	Textural and chemical characteristics of auger sediment samples from the Kom el-Hisn <i>gezira</i>	65
2.2	Particle size characteristics of selected deposits from the Nile Valley and Delta	69

Chapter 5

5.1	Counts and weights (g) for unidentified fish and identified fish by square with totals	144
5.2	Counts and weights (g) of identified fish presented by square	147
5.3	Counts and weights (g) for identified fish by body region	150
5.4	Ranking, based on number of identified specimens, of the six most-abundant fish taxa at Kom el-Hisn and other Neolithic, Early Dynastic, and Dynastic sites	154
5.5	Grouping of fish taxa based on number of identified specimens for Kom el-Hisn and other Neolithic Early Dynastic sites	156
5.6	Counts and weights (g) of bird and reptile bones presented by square	158
5.7	Counts and weights (g) of large identified mammal presented by square	164
5.8	Counts and weights (g) of sheep/goat presented by region of the body and by square	167
5.9	Fusion data for sheep/goat bones	171
5.10	Counts and weights (g) of pig presented by region of the body and by square	174
5.11	Fusion data for pig bones	176
5.12	Identifiable large mammal and gazelle presented by element and square	177
5.13	Counts and weights (g) of small identified mammal presented by square	182
5.14	Ratios, based on NISP, of the domestic for Kom el-Hisn and other Neolithic, Predynastic, and Old Kingdom sites	184
5.15	Ratios, based on NISP, of identified fish to identified mammal and identified bird to identified mammal for Kom el-Hisn and other Neolithic, Predynastic, and Old Kingdom sites	184
5.16a	Counts and weights (g) of unidentified mammal bone fragments presented by size, body part and square, part 1	186
5.16b	Counts and weights (g) of unidentified mammal bone fragments presented by size, body part, and square, part 2	190
5.17	Measurements (mm) for identifiable elements	194

Chapter 6

- | | | |
|-----|--|-----|
| 6.1 | Summary of Kom el-Hisn flotation samples from 1984 and 1986, with identifications, counts, percentages, and ubiquity of seeds identified | 218 |
|-----|--|-----|

Chapter 10

- | | | |
|------|--|-----|
| 10.1 | Select CV ranges | 311 |
| 10.2 | Summary of measurement trends relative to Elephantine material | 318 |
| 10.3 | Results of DFA analyses for comparisons of the Elephantine, Giza, and Teti assemblages | 321 |
| 10.4 | Results of DFA analyses for comparisons of the Elephantine and Kom el-Hisn assemblages | 324 |

Chapter 11

- | | | |
|------|---|-----|
| 11.1 | Absolute and percentage frequencies of retouched tools, cores, and debitage | 328 |
| 11.2 | Absolute and percentage frequencies of types of debitage | 328 |
| 11.3 | Absolute and percentage frequencies of raw materials and amount of cortex among wholly preserved blades | 329 |
| 11.4 | Absolute and percentage frequencies of retouched tools | 329 |
| 11.5 | Absolute and percentage frequencies showing the position of retouch on sickle blades | 331 |
| 11.6 | Absolute and percentage frequencies of raw materials, amount of cortex, and different sections of blades used for sickle production | 331 |
| 11.7 | Absolute and percentage frequencies of different raw materials and amount of cortex among bifacial knives | 331 |
| 11.8 | Absolute and percentage frequencies of types of ground stone objects | 333 |
| 11.9 | Absolute and percentage frequencies of raw materials among ground stone objects | 334 |

Chapter 12

- | | | |
|------|---|-----|
| 12.1 | Estimated counts and frequencies of burial types recorded by Hamada and Farid | 347 |
| 12.2 | Percentages of each type calculated separately for those seasons with actual type counts and those with estimated type counts | 347 |

Chapter 13

- | | | |
|------|--|-----|
| 13.1 | Statistical tests on floral data from table 6.1 | 376 |
| 13.2 | Total variance in floral data in table 6.1 explained by this PCA | 377 |
| 13.3 | Total variance explained after Varimax rotation | 378 |

Abbreviations

<i>ACE Bulletin</i>	<i>Australian Centre for Egyptology Bulletin (Sidney/Warminster/Oxford)</i>
<i>AeUL</i>	<i>Ägypten und Levante: Zeitschrift für ägyptische Archäologie und deren Nachbargebiete (Vienna)</i>
<i>AF</i>	<i>Ägyptologische Forschungen</i>
<i>AfO</i>	<i>Archiv für Orientforschung</i>
<i>AHSS</i>	<i>Annales Histoire, Sciences Sociales</i>
<i>AJA</i>	<i>American Journal of Archaeology</i>
<i>AJPA</i>	<i>American Journal of Physical Anthropology</i>
<i>AnSt</i>	<i>Anatolian Studies</i>
<i>ARA</i>	<i>Annual Review of Anthropology</i>
<i>ARES</i>	<i>Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics</i>
<i>ArOr</i>	<i>Archiv Orientální: Quarterly Journal of African and Asian Studies (Prague)</i>
<i>ASAE</i>	<i>Annales du Service des Antiquités de l'Égypte</i>
<i>AVDAIK</i>	<i>Archäologische Veröffentlichungen, Deutschen Archäologisches Institut Abteilung Kairo</i>
<i>BARIS</i>	<i>British Archaeological Reports, International Series</i>
<i>BASORSup</i>	<i>Supplements to the Bulletin of the American Schools of Oriental Research</i>
<i>BIE</i>	<i>Bulletin de l'Institute d'Égypt</i>
<i>BIFAO</i>	<i>Bulletin de l'Institut Français d'Archéologie Orientale</i>
<i>BL</i>	<i>Bulletin de la Liaison du Groupe Internationale d'Étude de la Céramique Égyptienne</i>
<i>BMSAES</i>	<i>British Museum Studies in Ancient Egypt and Sudan</i>
<i>BSA</i>	<i>Bulletin of Sumerian Agriculture</i>
<i>CA</i>	<i>Current Anthropology</i>
<i>CAJ</i>	<i>Cambridge Archaeology Journal</i>
<i>CCE</i>	<i>Cahier de la Céramique Égyptienne</i>
<i>EA</i>	<i>Egyptian Archaeology</i>
<i>ESAP</i>	<i>Egyptian Studies Association Publication</i>
<i>GJ</i>	<i>The Geographical Journal</i>
<i>GM</i>	<i>Göttinger Miszellen</i>
<i>IBAES</i>	<i>Internet-Beiträge zur Ägyptologie und Sudanarchäologie</i>
<i>IJESD</i>	<i>International Journal of Environmental Science and Development</i>
<i>IJO</i>	<i>International Journal of Osteoarchaeology</i>
<i>JAA</i>	<i>Journal of Anthropological Archaeology</i>
<i>JAE</i>	<i>Journal of Applied Ecology</i>
<i>JAES</i>	<i>Journal of African Earth Science</i>
<i>JaFA</i>	<i>Journal of African Archaeology</i>
<i>JAMT</i>	<i>Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory</i>
<i>JANEH</i>	<i>Journal of Ancient Near Eastern History</i>
<i>JAOS</i>	<i>Journal of the American Oriental Society</i>

JARCE	<i>Journal of the American Research Center in Egypt</i>
JARE	<i>Journal of Archaeological Research</i>
JAS	<i>Journal of Archaeological Science</i>
JCR	<i>Journal of Coastal Research</i>
JEA	<i>Journal of Egyptian Archaeology</i>
JEGH	<i>Journal of Egyptian History</i>
JHS	<i>Journal of Hellenic Studies</i>
JFA	<i>Journal of Field Archaeology</i>
JMA	<i>Journal of Mediterranean Archaeology</i>
JNES	<i>Journal of Near Eastern Studies</i>
JNH	<i>Journal of Natural History</i>
JSP	<i>Journal of Sedimentary Petrology</i>
JTB	<i>Journal of Theoretical Biology</i>
JVS	<i>Journal of Vegetation Science</i>
JWP	<i>Journal of World Prehistory</i>
LÄ	<i>Lexikon der Ägyptologie</i>
MAeS	<i>Münchener Ägyptologische Studien</i>
MDAIK	<i>Mitteilungen des Deutschen Archäologischen Instituts, Abteilung Kairo</i>
MIE	<i>Mémoires de l'Institut Égyptien</i>
NAR	<i>Norwegian Archaeological Review</i>
NGRE	<i>National Geographic Research and Exploration</i>
OIP	<i>Oriental Institute Publications</i>
OLA	<i>Orientalia Lovaniensia Analecta</i>
OMRO	<i>Oudheidkundige Mededelingen uit het Rijksmuseum van Oudheden</i>
PNAS	<i>Proceedings of the National Academy of Science</i>
PPP	<i>Paleogeography, Paleoclimatology, Paleoecology</i>
QR	<i>Quaternary Research</i>
RdE	<i>Revue d'Égyptologie</i>
SAAB	<i>South African Archaeology Bulletin</i>
SAK	<i>Studien zur Altägyptischen Kultur</i>
SAOC	<i>Studies in Ancient Oriental Civilization</i>
WorldArch	<i>World Archaeology</i>
WZKM	<i>Wiener Zeitschrift für die Kunde des Morgenlandes</i>
ZAEs	<i>Zeitschrift für ägyptische Sprache und Altertumskunde</i>